

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 5228 of 1982

with

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 4262 of 1982

Date of decision: 23-8-96

For Approval and Signature

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice S. K. KESHOTE

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgment?
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 or any order made thereunder?
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

GORDHANBHAI VALLABHDAS KANNAI

Versus

MANAGING DIRECTION

Appearance:

1. Special Civil Application No. 5228 of 1982

None present for Petitioner

Mr. Deepak Patel for Respondent No. 1

2. Special Civil Application No 4262 of 1982

MR D.G.Shukla for Petitioner

MR Deepak Patel for Respondent No. 1

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE S.K.KESHOTE

Date of decision: 23/08/96

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Heard the learned counsel for the parties. The petitioners in these special civil applications challenge the order of the respondents not to continue them in service as Centre Organiser. It is not in dispute that the petitioners were required in employment which was seasonal in nature and their services came to an end automatically on expiry of the season. Identical matter has already been decided by this Court. Reference in this respect may have to be made to the decision in L.P.A. No.110 of 1983 rendered on 23-2-1983. Termination of the appointment of the same nature of which the petitioners were engaged was challenged before this court by filing special civil application No.2880 of 1982 in which the termination order was held to be valid. That matter was taken in the aforesaid L.P.A. which was also dismissed. The counsel appearing for the parties agree that the said decision clearly covers the issue raised in these special civil applications.

2. In the result these special civil applications fail and they are dismissed. Rule discharged in both the petitions. Ad interim relief granted earlier stands vacated. No order as to costs.

, , , ,
csm